

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/743,945	Applicant(s) CHUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner Shelly A Chase	Art Unit 2133	

All Participants:

(1) Shelly A Chase.

(2) Mr. James McEwen.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11-12-04
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the claims as presented in the amendment filed 9-20-2004 are dependent claims directed to a computer readable medium depending on independent claims directed to method steps. The examiner suggested that the dependency does not comply with 35 USC 112 and suggest that the claims be rewritten in independent form.